

S:	COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND	TRADEMARKS
	Washington, D.C. 20231	

Ŀ	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST N	AMED INVENTOR	Α	TTORNEY DOCKET NO.
	09/128,62	2 08/04/	98 PRINCE		R	APV-30200
Г		•	QM12/0809		EXAMINER	
	STEVENS DA	AVIS MILLE	R & MOSHER		NGUYEI	۱, K
	1615 L STF	REET NW	<u>.</u>		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	SUITE 850 WASHINGTON	V DC 20036			3712	4
					DATE MAILED:	08/09/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 



Application No. 09/128,622 Approcant(s)

Robert P. Prince

Office Action Summary

Examiner

Kien T. Nguyen

Group Art Unit 3712



Responsive to communication(s) filed on  This action is <b>FINAL</b> .					
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extens 7 CFR 1.136(a).	to expire month(s), or thirty days, whichever to respond within the period for response will cause the				
isposition of Claims	the standard contraction				
	is/are pending in the application.				
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
Claim(s)					
Claim(s)					
Claim(s)	is/are objected to.				
X Claims <u>1-32</u>	are subject to restriction or election requirement.				
Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawi  The drawing(s) filed on is/are objective.					
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on					
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priorit  All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies  received.					
☐ received.	umber)				
received in this national stage application from the *Certified copies not received:	e International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic prior					
Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO- Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152					
SEE OFFICE ACTION OF	V THE FOLLOWING PAGES				

Application/Control Number: 09/128,622 Page 2

Art Unit: 3712

## Election/Restriction

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Group 1: Figs. 1-8

Group 2: Figs. 9-13

Group 3: Fig. 14.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to

Application/Control Number: 09/128,622

Art Unit: 3712

be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission

may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner 2.

should be directed to Kien Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-2493.

Page 3

ktn

August 6, 1999